1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
3	
4	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, et ) C23-1495-JHC
5	al., ) SEATTLE, WASHINGTON Plaintiffs, )
6	) June 6, 2024 -
7	v. ) 2:00 P.M. ) AMAZON.COM, INC., a )
8	corporation, NC., a ) STATUS CONFERENCE
9	Defendant. )
10	)
11	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	For the Plaintiff Edward H. Takashima FTC: Federal Trade Commission
17	600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20580
18	
19	For the State of Timothy D. Smith Oregon and Plaintiff Oregon Department of Justice
20	States: 1162 Court Street N.E. Salem, OR 97301-4096
21	,
22	For the Defendant: Heidi K. Hubbard Williams & Connolly
23	680 Maine Avenue S.W. Washington, DC 20024
24	
25	
	Proceedings stenographically reported and transcript produced with computer-aided technology

```
1
              THE COURT: Please be seated.
 2
              THE CLERK: This is Case No. C23-1495, Federal Trade
 3
     Commission, et al. versus Amazon.com, Inc.
 4
         Would counsel for the plaintiff, then the state, and then
     Amazon please make your appearances for the record?
 5
 6
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Ed
 7
     Takashima for the Federal Trade Commission.
 8
              MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Tim
 9
     Smith from the Oregon Department of Justice. I'm appearing on
     behalf of the plaintiff states and territories who are acting by
10
11
     and through their respective Attorneys General.
12
              MS. HUBBARD: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
13
     (A recorded message interruption from the conference call line.)
14
              THE COURT: Ms. Hubbard, I believe it was --
15
              MS. HUBBARD: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: -- I think you were just interrupted.
17
              MS. HUBBARD: Yes. I'm so sorry, Your Honor. It's
     Heidi Hubbard from Williams & Connolly. I'm appearing this
18
19
     afternoon for Amazon. Good afternoon.
20
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
21
         Good afternoon to all of you. As you know, this is a status
     conference in this matter. I am in an open courtroom, the
22
23
     lawyers are appearing telephonically, and there is public access
24
     to this hearing via the court's teleconference line. And this is
     how I plan to conduct future status conferences in this matter.
25
```

```
1
     I'm sure I will hear if a party has an objection.
 2
         I want to start off by thanking the parties for the joint
 3
     status report, which I have reviewed. I have a short list of
 4
     items for brief discussion, but, first, I will give the parties a
 5
     chance to report on anything that was not in the joint status
 6
     report, starting with you, Mr. Takashima, for the FTC.
 7
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
         I think we have covered our view of the status of the case in
 9
     the joint status report. Nothing to add.
              THE COURT: Mr. Smith?
10
              MR. SMITH: Thanks, Your Honor. Tim Smith. The states
11
12
     have nothing to add to the joint status report.
13
              THE COURT: Ms. Hubbard?
14
              MS. HUBBARD: Thank you, Your Honor. I have nothing to
15
     add to the joint status report. I'm hoping to have a brief
16
     conversation about coordination at some point this afternoon.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And I think I will turn
     to my list of items.
18
19
         First of all -- I'm sorry. There's some background noise,
20
     and so if counsel can try to reduce that background noise, that
21
     would be great.
22
            (The court and the in-court deputy clerk confer.)
23
              THE COURT: And, counsel, you can now unmute yourselves.
24
         First of all, I want to note that the plaintiffs requested
25
     that the court prioritize ruling on the motion to enter an ESI
```

order, and Amazon followed up with a thought about addressing the motion to dismiss. And I just want to thank both sides for their patience and let you know that when I started with this court a little over a couple of years ago, we were given quite a backlog of motions, and we are getting caught up, but it's still taking more time to get these things out the door than I wish. So, again, thanks for your patience.

I want to turn to motions to seal and any motions to close the courtroom. I want to take this opportunity to emphasize the importance of public access to these proceedings, and I wanted to let the lawyers know that I will be continuing to closely scrutinize motions to seal and I will do so for any motion to close the courtroom.

My next item is what I'm calling "Economics Day," a potential economics day. I think, ages ago, it used to be called something like "hot-tubbing." But I'd like to be as educated as possible regarding the economic theories in this case, and to that end, Economics Day would be a hearing where I would hear from both sides' economists about the theories being advanced in this case. And so my questions for counsel today are, is this a good idea, because if you agree, I would like the parties to meet and confer regarding the date or dates of an Economics Day or days and the ground rules for such a hearing. And if you can't agree, you could send in proposals.

So I will start with you, Mr. Takashima.

```
1
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
         I think it -- we're happy to do whatever I think the court
 3
     would find helpful. So if the court would find some kind of
 4
     economic presentation useful to get up to speed on the case, I
 5
     think that is certainly something we're happy to talk to Amazon
 6
     about and come up with some proposals.
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you.
         Mr. Smith?
 8
 9
              MR. SMITH: For the states, Your Honor, Tim Smith.
10
     support the FTC's position.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hubbard?
12
                            (No audible response.)
              MS. HUBBARD:
13
              THE COURT: Hello, Ms. Hubbard. Are you unmuted?
14
              MS. HUBBARD: Your Honor, I apologize, I'm clearly
15
     having technical difficulties today.
16
         I was saying I think it's a great idea to have an Economics
17
     Day, and we would be happy to confer with the plaintiffs and come
     up with a proposal on a protocol, as well as proposed timing for
18
19
            It may make sense to do this not long after Labor Day,
20
     early fall, if that were to work for the court, but we would
21
     definitely want to do it at a time that worked for Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Okav.
                                 Thank you.
23
         And by when do you think the parties would be able to submit
24
     a proposal for the court?
25
              MS. HUBBARD: Your Honor, I would propose that we have
```

```
1
     two to three weeks to confer and come to some kind of agreement
 2
     on what makes sense, if that would be acceptable to Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Sure. Three weeks out would be June 27th;
 4
     is that correct?
 5
              THE CLERK: Yes.
 6
              MS. HUBBARD: Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: Mr. Takashima, does that work for you?
 8
              MR. TAKASHIMA: That works for us, Your Honor. I think
 9
     that makes sense.
              THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Smith?
10
11
              MR. SMITH: The same, Your Honor. Thank you.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
         The next item is Docket No. 220. That is a motion to seal by
13
14
              It's pending. The plaintiffs' reply, the reply -- or
     Amazon.
15
     their response. I'm sorry, the plaintiffs responded, and the
16
     response is at Docket No. 236. It looks like there's no
17
     objection. And there's also a request to permanently seal
     portions of Exhibit 13. So I'm wondering whether there's any
18
19
     disagreement on this particular motion, which looks meritorious
20
     to me.
21
         Ms. Hubbard?
22
              MS. HUBBARD: Thank you, Your Honor. Heidi Hubbard for
23
     Amazon.
24
         The plaintiffs have agreed with the limits of sealing that
25
    we've proposed, so with that limited sealing, I think that the
```

```
1
     parties are in agreement.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. There's also a request that I
 3
     permanently seal the subject line of Exhibit 13. And by the way,
 4
     I'm getting a little bit ahead myself. This motion is noted for
     tomorrow, but I thought that if there's no disagreement here, I
 5
 6
     could get another order out the door.
 7
         So my question is, does Amazon object to the request to
 8
     permanently seal the subject line of Exhibit 13 filed with the
 9
     Stojilkovic declaration, because it refers to a nonpublic FTC
10
     investigation?
11
              MS. HUBBARD: We do not object, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: So it sounds like I can go ahead and enter
13
     the order then?
14
              MS. HUBBARD: Yes. Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. And are plaintiffs in agreement,
16
     Mr. Takashima?
17
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Smith?
18
19
              MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we agree.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. My very last item is just to remind
21
     the parties of something. As you know, this is a big docket, and
22
     it gets bigger, seemingly, every day. It's real helpful for the
23
     court if you mention docket numbers in your filings with the
24
     court. Like, for example, if your brief is a response to a
25
     motion, to put in your introduction the docket number of the
```

```
1
     motion you are responding to. The same thing with replies and
 2
     the same thing with respect to conclusions in briefing. It just
 3
     helps the court more quickly locate related pleadings.
         Does that make sense?
 4
              MS. HUBBARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
 6
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
              MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: So is there anything anyone else would like
10
     to raise today?
11
              MS. HUBBARD: Your Honor, Heidi Hubbard for Amazon.
12
         I was going to give a brief update on coordination because I
13
     know it's important to Your Honor, given the related private
14
     cases in front of Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Yes.
16
              MS. HUBBARD: But I think there is a good update in the
17
     joint status report itself, and I think we have come up with a
18
     way with respect to the private cases -- and, obviously, those
19
     plaintiffs are not here today -- to make an adjustment that would
     align those cases and permit meaningful coordination.
20
21
         I was going to update Your Honor that third parties, because
22
     they're going to bear a lot of the burden if we don't have
23
     meaningful coordination, are speaking up and asking for
24
     coordination, and given the good progress that we have already
25
     made, we expect to be able to come to the court in the near term
```

```
1
     with, hopefully, an agreed-to proposal on coordination, which I
 2
     think will help a lot as we get going on discovery and as we have
 3
     third parties speaking up and asking for coordination.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that update.
 4
 5
         And it sounds like nobody else has anything to raise, so I
 6
     just want to thank you, counsel.
 7
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Your Honor, if I may?
 8
              THE COURT: Yes.
 9
              MR. TAKASHIMA: I'm sorry. This is Ed Takashima for the
10
     FTC.
11
         If the court is so inclined, if the court has any even
12
     preliminary views on any of the discovery issues we raised in the
13
     joint status report, and, in particular, I think the issues
14
     around the reproduction of documents that have been produced
15
     already in California or our interrogatory to Amazon, that would
16
     be, I think, helpful to inform further discussion between the
17
     parties. And then after that, I have one more ask, but I will
     stop there for a moment.
18
19
              THE COURT: Yeah, I didn't address that because I didn't
20
     think that there was a ripe request for the court. Since you are
21
     asking for what I'm thinking, I will give you just a succinct
22
     answer, and that is that, you know, if what you are saying is
23
     true, if what FTC -- if what plaintiffs are saying is true
24
     regarding discovery in this matter, then I would be concerned,
```

but I know that there are often two sides to the story on

25

disputes like this.

One thing that did catch my attention was the interrogatory regarding procompetitive justifications. I think that the issue of procompetitive justifications is something that hovers close to the heart of this case, and so I just wanted to let you know that I sort of raised my eyebrows when I saw that particular issue. But, again, I realize that there can be two sides to the story and that there's not yet an issue ripe for the court to decide.

MR. TAKASHIMA: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yep.

MS. HUBBARD: And, Your Honor, Heidi Hubbard on that for Amazon. There is indeed another side to this, and while I passionately agree that procompetitive justification is at the heart of this case because the practices under attack are so procompetitive, I don't think that the way the dispute is presented in the joint status report is fair or even, and we are working really hard to work with the plaintiff to meet and confer too so that we don't have to burden Your Honor with these disputes. And I hope that we can still get there and that they're not teed up for Your Honor in this way when there is actually a process for bringing disputes to Your Honor if we cannot get to a good place in the meet-and-confer process.

THE COURT: Understood.

Okay. Mr. Takashima, what's your next one?

MR. TAKASHIMA: My next one -- and, I'm sorry, I thought of one more, with the court's patience -- stepping back for a second regarding the court's inquiry about an Economics Day, are there any topics in particular you would like the parties to focus on? Should we be thinking about this particularly in the context of the motion to dismiss or the case at large or is there any kind of -- any guidance as to what would be most helpful for the court? I think that would be helpful for us when we go back and speak with Amazon.

THE COURT: It's the case at large. It's the case at large. And I guess I'm most interested in where there's the greatest amount of conflict between the different economic theories being advanced.

MR. TAKASHIMA: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.

And then my last request, with the court's indulgence, I just wanted to raise for the court the possibility that the court might consider upping the tempo of these joint status conferences to have them every other month, at least while we're in fact discovery. I will say that I think the process of putting together the joint status report was helpful for the parties, and we were actually able to resolve a couple of issues just in the process of putting that together. And I think, more generally, I think more frequent conferences, balancing, of course, the burden it has to the court, are a good way to make sure that the case stays on track.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 I'll think about that. Why don't the
 2
     parties put something about that in the next joint status report
 3
     before the next status conference; does that work?
              MR. TAKASHIMA: That does, Your Honor. Thank you very
 4
 5
     much.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hubbard, did you want to respond
 7
     to that?
 8
              MS. HUBBARD:
                            No. We want to do what's most helpful for
 9
     the court. But we are happy to confer on this and put something
     in the joint status report for the next conference, which I
10
11
     believe is right after Labor Day.
12
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
         Mr. Smith, anything?
13
14
                          Nothing further for -- nothing further, Your
              MR. SMITH:
15
     Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Then I think that covers everything
17
     that folks wanted to discuss. And with that, we will be in
     recess, and I wish you all a happy weekend.
18
19
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
              MS. HUBBARD:
              MR. TAKASHIMA: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
21
              MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Thank you.
23
              THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.
24
                                (Adjourned.)
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Nickoline M. Drury, RMR, CRR, Court Reporter for the
4	United States District Court in the Western District of
5	Washington at Seattle, do certify that the foregoing is a correct
6	transcript, to the best of my ability, from the record of
7	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
8	
9	
10	<u>/s/ Nickoline Drury</u>
11	Nickoline Drury
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	